DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

the is disted below) or an or the is claimed and for which	riginal, first, and joint inven th a patent is sought on the DRIZATION IN MOBILE I	ve I am the original, first, and so tor (if plural names are listed be invention entitled	elow) of the	subject ma
-				
specification of which X is attached	harara			
was filed or				
	nited States Application Nur	as		
	PCT International Applicat		_	
an	d was amended on			
		(if applicable)	·	
ne to be material to patental	ny amendment referred to ab ability as defined in Title 37	d the contents of the above-ident ove. I acknowledge the duty to d 7, Code of Federal Regulations,	disclose all in Section 1.50	nformation l 6.
the United States of An	or 365(a) of any PCT intermedica, listed below and hatter tor=s certificate, or any PCT	U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) or 365(b), of ational application which design we also identified below, by chartenational application having	ated at least	one country
Foreign Application(s)	s cianneu.		Priority <u>Claimed?</u>	
(Number)	(Country)	(Foreign Filing Date)	Yes	No
(Number)	(Country)	(Foreign Filing Date)	Yes	No
I hereby claim the bene 60/445,799	:fit, under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), February l	of any United States provisiona 0, 2003	l application	n(s) listed be
pplication Number)	Filing Date			
pplication Number)	Filing Date			
I hereby claim the ber	nefît, under 35 U.S.C. 120, o	of any United States application	n(s) listed be	low:
Application Number)	Filing Date	(Status patented,	pending, abs	andoned)
Application Number)	Filing Date	(Status patented		· · · · · ·

I hereby appoint: Donald R. Antonelli, Reg. No. 20,296; McIvin Kraus, Reg. No. 22,466: William I. Solomon, Reg. No. 28,565; Gregory E. Montone, Reg. No. 28,141; Ronald J. Shore, Reg. No. 28,577; Donald E. Stout, Reg. No. 26,422; Alan E. Schiavelli, Reg. No. 32,087; Carl I. Brundidge, Reg. No. 29,621; Paul J. Skwierawski, Reg. No. 32,173; Hung H. Bui, Reg. No. 40,415; and Frederick D. Bailey, Reg. No. 42,282; of ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP with offices located at 1300 North Seventcenth Street, Suite 1800, Arlington, Virginia 22209, my attorneys, with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

Send all correspondence to:

Customer Number 020457
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP
1300 North Seventeenth Street
Suite 1800
Arlington, VA. 22209

Direct all telephone calls and faxes to:

TEL: (703) 312-6600 FAX: (703) 312-6666

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. 1001 and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of Sole/First Inv	entor Juha A. RĀSĀNEN	
Inventor's Signature Residence Espoo, FINLAN Mailing Address Siniring	(City, State) antic 21, 02660 Espoo, FINLAN	Date 30 January 2006 Citizenship FINLAND (Country of Citizenship)
Full Name of Second/Joint Inventor's Signature Residence Tampere, FINLA	gorland	Date 28 January 2004Citizenship ITALY
Mailing Address <u>Hatanpäi</u>	(City, State) in Valtatie 12 c 57, 33100 Tamp	(Country of Cirian alt)
	ventor	
		Date
Residence		Citizenship
Mailing Address	(City, State)	(Country of Citizenship)
		

Title 37, Code of Pederal Regulations, Section 1.56
Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclosure information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclosure all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by 991.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made or record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.
- (e) In any continuation-in-part application, the duty under this section includes the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability, as defined in paragraph (b) of this section, which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of the continuation-in-part application.